DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 325 194 JC 900 602

AUTHOR Lyons, J. Doyle

TITLE Success of Community College Students Completing

Developmental Courses.

INSTITUTION New River Community Coll., Dublin, Va.

PUB DATE NOV 90 NOTE 27p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142) -- Sta:istical

Data (110)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Achievement; *Basic Writing; Community

Colleges; Comparative Analysis; Developmental Studies Programs; *English Instruction; *Grades (Scholastic); *Mathematics Instruction; Outcomes of Education; Program Effectiveness; *Remedial Instruction; *Remedial Mathematics; Screening Tests; Sequential Learning; Student Development; Student Placement;

Tables (Data); Two Year Colleges

ABSTRACT

Upon entry to New River Community College (NRCC), placement tests are administered to students enrolling in curricula and to students enrolling in English and mathematics classes. Based on preestablished cutoff scores on the placement tests, students are advised by counselors to take appropriate courses. In 1990, NRCC tracked students from developmental courses into the next logical course (non-developmental "target" course). Spring 1990 target course grades were compared based on students' prior developmental course work. The following results were obtained: (1) students passing the first developmental English course did as well in the second developmental English courses as did those students who did not take the first course; (2) overall, students who passed developmental English courses did better in the next non-developmental English course than students who had not taken the developmental English classes, although developmental students had a 9% higher rate of D's and F's then non-developmental students; (3) students passing the first developmental mathematics courses did almost as WE 1 in the second developmental mathematics courses as students who did not take the first developmental course; and (4) students who passed developmental mathematics courses did not do as well in the non-developmental mathematics target courses as did students who had not taken developmental mathematics. Detailed data tables of results are presented. (JMC)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.



SUCCESS OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE STUDENTS COMPLETING DEVELOPMENTAL COURSES

J. Doyle Lyons, Coordinator Planning and Research

November 1990

New River Community College

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
J. D. Lyons
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person of organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality



Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-ment do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

SUCCESS OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE STUDENTS COMPLETING DEVELOPMENTAL COURSES

Upon entry to New River Community College (a comprehensive community college in Dublin, Virginia serving approximately 4,000 students), placement tests are administered to students enrolling in curriculums and to students who enroll in English and mathematics classes. These tests are used to measure the reading, English, and mathematics levels of the students. Cutoff scores have been pre-established by the faculty in these areas and students are advised by the counselors to take appropriate courses based upon scores obtained on the placement tests. If the scores are not adequate for "college level" courses, the students are advised to enroll in developmental English and/or mathematics courses

The following analyses deal with the tracking of students from developmental courses into the <u>next logical course</u>. A comparison of the grades earned in the next logical course is made for those students who took the developmental course and those students who did not take the developmental course (at least not at New River Community College).

On the next few pages are the results of the grades earned in the particular developmental courses ("object" courses) taken prior to Spring Semester 1990 and the subsequent grades earned in the next logical courses ("target" courses) that were taken Spring Semester 1990.

It should be pointed out that the data in all of the following tables represent the first enrollments for students in "target" courses for the particular disciplines involved. For example, in any



table involving an English "target" course taken Spring Semester 1990, the grades recorded in the table are for the first time that the student took that English "target" course at NRCC. If the student had taken that English "target" course prior to Spring Semester 1990 at NRCC, then that student and his/her grade were excluded from the table. In this manner, there should be a "pure" match between a developmental "object" grade and a grade earned in a corresponding "target" class.

The tables present the numbers and percentages of grades earned in the classes Spring Semester 1990 by the developmental "object" course grade earned prior to Spring Semester 1990 as well as the numbers and percentages of grades earned by students who did not take the developmental "object" course. Additionally, total frequencies and percentages are presented for all grades assigned in the courses.

For Spring Semester 1990, the following was observed (see lables for summary results):

- 1. Students passing the first developmental English courses did as well in the second developmental English courses as did those students who did not take the first developmental English classes at NRCC (86% passing the second courses versus 83% passing, respectively).
- 2. Students who passed developmental English courses did better in the next non-developmental English courses than those students who had not taken the developmental English classes at NRCC (74% versus 66% with grades of at least a "C" in the non-developmental classes, respectively for the two groups). However, those taking developmental courses had a 9% higher rate of "D's" or "F's" in the next non-developmental classes than those students who had not taken the developmental courses.
- 3. Students <u>passing the first developmental mathematics courses</u> did almost as well in the second developmental mathematics courses as did those students who <u>did not take the first developmental mathematics classes at NRCC</u> (51% versus 53% passing the second developmental courses, respectively).



4. Students who passed developmental mathematics courses did not do as well in the next non-developmental mathematics courses as those students who had not taken developmental mathematics classes at NRCC (52% versus 64% with grades of at least a "C" in the next non-developmental courses, respectively for the two groups). Those students taking developmental courses had a 12% higher rate of "D's" or "F's" in the next non-developmental classes than did those students who had not taken the developmental courses.

Because many students who enroll in developmental courses in community colleges demonstrate very limited proficiency in English or mathematics skills, conventional wisdom among administrators and faculty is that these students will experience a higher failure rate than other students. This study, however, seems to indicate that students who complete developmental courses can succeed to the same degree as non-developmental students, at least within the structure of one institution. Such findings may be encouraging to community college educators who truly believe in the open door concept.



Table 1 DEVKLOPMENTAL COURSE TRACKING -- ENGLISH SUMMARY RESULTS Spring Semester 1990

A comparison of grades earned in <u>developmental "target"</u> English classes appears below for those students who passed a previous developmental English "object" class versus those students who did not take the developmental English "object" class:

Student Status for	Develo		de Karne English	d in "Target"	Course
"Object" Course	P	R	U	W	TOTAL
Students Passing Previous Developmental English "Object" Course:					
No.	38 86	2 5	1 2	3 7	44 100
Students Not Taking Previous Developmental English "Object" Course at NRCC: No.	24	1	0	4	29
Pct.	83	3		14	100
TOTAL:					
No. Pct.	62 85	3 4	1	7 10	73 100

Note: Abbreviations are as follows:

P = Pass R = Repeat

U = Unsatisfactory

W = Withdrawal



Table 2 DEVELOPMENTAL COURSE TRACKING -- ENGLISH SUMMARY RESULTS Spring Semester 1990

A comparison of grades earned in the <u>next logical non-developmental</u> "target" courses after the completion of developmental English "object" courses appears below for those students who passed a developmental English "object" class versus those students who did not take the developmental "nglish "object" class at NRCC:

Student Status for		Grade Earned in the Next Logical Non-Developmental "Target" Course									
"Object" Course	A	В	С	D	F	I	W	х	TOTAL		
Students Passing Previous Developmental English "Object" Course:											
No. Pct.	5 17	9 30		3 10		0 0	0 0	0 0	30 100		
Students Not Taking Previous Developmental English "Object" Course at NRCC:											
No. Pct.	43 23	57 31		7 4		3 2	27 15	0	185 100		
TOTAL:											
No. Pct.	48 22	66 31	30 14	10 5	31 14	3 1	27 13	0	215 100		



Table 3 DEVELOPMENTAL COURSE TRACKING -- MATHEMATICS SUMMARY RESULTS Spring Semester 1990

A comparison of grades earned in <u>developmental "target"</u> mathematics classes appears below for those students who passed a previous developmental mathematics "object" class versus those students who did not take the developmental mathematics "object" class:

Student Status for	Develop		de Karned thematics		t" Course
"Object" Course	P	υ	W	Х	TOTAL
Students Passing Previous Developmental Mathematics "Object" Course:					
No. Pct.	38 51	23 31	11 15	3 4	75 100
Students Not Taking Previous Developmental Mathematics Course at NRCC:					
No. Pct.	40 53	24 32	11 15	0	75 100
TOTAL:					
No. Pct.	78 52	47 31	22 15	3 2	150 100



Table 4 DEVELOPMENTAL COURSE TRACKING -- MATHEMATICS SUMMARY RESULTS Spring Semester 1990

A comparison of grades earned in the <u>next logical non-developmental</u> <u>mathematics "target"</u> courses after the completion of developmental mathematics "object" courses appears below for those students who passed a developmental mathematics "object" class versus those students who did not take the developmental mathematics "object" class at NRCC:

Student Status for -	Grade Earned in the Next Logical Non-Developmental Mathematics "Target" Course									
"Object" Course	A	В	С	D	F	I	W	х	TOTAL	
Students Passing Previous Developmental Machematics: "Object" Course:										
No. Pct.	7 10	14 21	14 21	5 7	17 25	0 0	11 16	0	68 100	
Students Not Taking Previous Developmental Mathematics "Object" Course at NRCC:										
No. Pct.	26 15	49 28	36 21	20 11	16 9	0	25 14	2 1	174 100	
TOTAL:			-			_				
No. Pct.	33 14	63 26	50 21	25 10	33 14	0	36 15	2 1	242 100	



Table 5 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN KNG 03 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK ENG 01 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE ENG 01

"Obje			"T	arget"	Course	(ENG 03)	Grade	Total
Grad		I	P	R	U	W		Grades
ENG O1:	:							
P:	No. Pct.	0	36 86	2 5	1 2	3 7		42 100
R:	No.	0	1 100	0 0	ე 0	0 0		100
s:	No. Pct.	0	1 100	0 0	0 0	0 0		100
Sub-Tot				_	_			
	No. Pct.	0	38 86	2 5	1 2	3 7		100
Did Not								
	No. Pct.	0 0	21 88	1 4	0	2 8		24 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	0	59 87	3 4	1 1.	5 7		68 100

- "ENG 01" denotes course, "Preparing for College Writing I."
 "ENG 03" denotes course, "Preparing for College Writing II." 1.
- 2.
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990. "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- 4.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between 'ne enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 6 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN ENG 111 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK ENG 03 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE ENG 03

	"Object" Course		lu Lu	arget"	Course	(ENG	111)	Grade		
Grad		A	В	в с		F	I	W	x	── Total Grades
ENG 03	:									
P:	No. Pct.	3 14	9 41	5 23	1 5	4 18	0 0	0 0	0 0	22 100
Did Not ENG										
	No. Pct.	24 22	32 29	17 15	5 5	17 15	0 0	16 14	0 0	111 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	27 20	41	22 17	6 5	21 16	0	16 12	0	133 100

- "ENG 03" denotes course, "Preparing for College Writing II." "ENG 111" denotes course, "College Composition I." 1.
- 2.
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" 5. courses.



Table 7 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN ENG 115 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK ENG 03 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE

WHO DID NOT TAKE ENG 03

	"Object" Course		T"	arget"	Course	(Eng	115)	Grade		
Grad		A	В	С	D	F	I	W	Х	Total Grades
ENG 03:	:	[
P:	No. Pct.	2 29	Q 0	2 29	2 29	1 14	0 0	0 0	0 0	7 100
Did Not ENG										
	No. Pct.	10 24	11 27	4 10	1 2	7 17	1 2	7 17	0 0	41 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	12 25	11 23	6 13	3 6	8 17	1 2	7 15	0	48 100

- "ENG 03" denotes course, "Preparing for College Writing II." "ENG 115" denotes course, "Technical Writing." 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.
 "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990.
 No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 8 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN KNG 05 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK ENG 04 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE ENG 04

"Obje			"T	arget"	Cours	e (KNG	05) Grade	
Grad		I P R U W					Total Grades	
ENG 04:	•							
P:	No. Pot.	0 0 	1 100	0 0	C 0	0 0		 1 100
Did Not ENG	t Take 04:							
	No. Pct.	0 0	3 60	0 0	0 0	2 40		5 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	0	4 67	0	0	2 33		6 100

- 1.
- "ENG 04" denotes course, "Reading Improvement I."
 "ENG 05" denotes course, "Reading Improvement II."
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.
- "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 4.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 9 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN HIG 121 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK ENG 05 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE KNG 05

"Obje			^{ti} T	arget"	Course	(HIS	121)	Grade		
Cour Grad		A	В	С	D	F	I	W	X	Total Grades
ENG 05:										
		0 -	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>	0 -	0	<u>o</u>	0 -	0 -
Did Not Eng										
	No. Pct.	2 33	1. 17	0 0	1 17	0 0	0 0	2 33	0 0	6 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	2 33	1 17	0 0	1 17	0	0	2 33	0	6 100

- "ENG 05" denotes course, "Reading Improvement II."
 "HIS 121" denotes course, "United States History I." 1.
- 2.
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.
 "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- 4.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 10 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN PSY 120 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK KNG 05 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE KNG 05

"Obj			111	Target"	Course	(PSY	120)	Grade		7-4-1
Gra		A	B	С	D	F	I	W	Х	Total Grades
ENG 05	:									
P:	No. Pct.	0 0	0 0	1 100	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	1 100
U:	No. Pct.	0	1 100	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	100
Sub-To	tal: No. Pct.	0	1 50	1 50	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 100
Did Not	t Take 05:									
	No. Pct.	7 26	13 48	1 4	9 0	2 7	2 7	2 7	0 0	27 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	7 24	14 48	2 7	0	2 7	2 7	2 7	0 0	29 100

- 1. "ENG 05" denotes course, "Reading Improvement II."
- 2. "PSY 120" denotes course, "Human Relations."
- 3. "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.
- 4. "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990.
- 5. No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disc'plines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 11 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN BUS 121 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK MYE 02 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO D'D GOT TAKE MIT 02

"Object" Course			I ^{KI}	arget"	Course (BUS 121)			Grade	Mat a 1	
	Grade		В	С	D	F	I	W	Х	Total Grades
MTH 02:	:									
P:	No. Pct.	6 26	2 9	8 35	1 4	5 22	0 0	1 4	0 0	23 100
Did Not										
	No. Pct.	9 41	6 27	0 0	2 9	2 9	0	3 14	0 0	22 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	15 33	8 18	8 18	3 7	7 16	0	4 9	0	45 100

- "BUS 121" denotes course, "Business Mathematics I." 1.
- 2.
- "MTH 02" denotes course, "Basic Arithmetic."
 "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.
 "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- 4.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 12 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN MTH 03 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO YOOK MTH 02 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 02

"Obje Cour		ļ	191	Target"	Course	(MTH 03) Grade		m-+-3
Grad		I P U		ŭ	W	X			Total. Grades
MTH 02:	:							`	
F:	No. Pct.	0	0 0	0	1 100	0 0			1 100
P:	No. Pct.	0	11 39	10 36	5 18	2 7			28 100
R:	No. Pct.	0	0 0	0	1 100	0 0			1 100
W:	No. Pct.	0	o o	1 100	0 0	0 0			1 100
Sub-Tot	al:								
	No. Pct.	0	11 35	11 35	7 23	2 6			31 100
Did Not									
	No. Pct.	0	29 56	12 23	11 21	0 0			52 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	0	40 48	23 28	18 22	2			83 100

- 1.
- 2.
- "MTH 02" denotes course, "Basic Arithmetic."

 "MTH 03" denotes course, "Basic Algebra I."

 "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.

 "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 13 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN MTH 103 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK MITH 02 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 02

"Obje			пЛ	'arget"	Cours	е (ИТН	103)	Grade		metal.
Grad		A	В	С	D	F	I	W	X	Total Grades
MTH 02:	:									
P:	No. Pct.	0	3 20	2 13	0 0	6 40	0 0	4 27	0 0	15 100
R:	No. Pct.	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 100	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 100
Sub-Tot	No. Pct.	ე 0	3 19	2 13	0 0	7 44	.0 .0	4 25	0	16 100
Did Not										
	No. Fct.	11 15	9 12	18 25	9 12	11 15	0	14 19	1	73 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	'11 12	12 13	20 22	9	18 20	0	18 20	1	89 100

- 1.
- "MTH 02" denotes course, "Basic Arithmetic."

 "MTH 103" denotes course, "Basic Technical Mathematics I."

 "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.

 "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 14 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN MITH 04 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK WITH 03 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 03

"Obje Cou			ן יי	'arget'	Cours	e (MTH 0	04) Grade	
Grad		I	P	U	W	Х		Total Grades
KTH 03:	:							
P:	No.	0	17	9	3	1		30
	Pct.	0	57	30	10	1 3		100
u:	No.	0	1	2	2	0		5
	Pct.	0	20	40	40	0		100
Sub-Tot	:al:							
	No.	0	18	11	5	1		35
	Pct.	0	51	31	14	1 3		100
Did Not	: Take							
MTH								
	No.	0	9	8	0	0		17
	Pct.	0	53	47	0	0		100
TOTAL:	No.	0	27	10		1		
TOTAL.	Pct.	0	52	19 37	5 10	1 2		52 100

- 1.
- "MTH 03" denotes course, "Basic Algebra I."
 "MTH 04" denotes course, "Basic Algebra II." 2.

- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.
 "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990.
 No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 15 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN MTH 06 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK MTH 03 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 03

"Obje			Г"	'arget'	Cours	e (MTH 0	6) Grade	
Cour Grad	Grade		P	U	W	Х		Total Grades
MTH 03:	:							
P:	No. Pct.	0 0	5 63	2 25	1 13	0 0		8 100
Did Not								
	No. Pct.	0 0	1 33	2 67	0 0	0		3 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	0	6 55	4 36	1 9	0		11 100

- "MTH 00" denotes course, "Basic Algebra I."
 "MTH 06" denotes course, "Basic Geometry." 1.
- 2.
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.
 "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- 4.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 16 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN MTH 111 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK MTH 03 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 03

"Obje			n T	arget"	Course	(MTA	1111)	Grade		m-4-3
Cour Grad		A	В	С	D	F	I		Х	Total Grades
MTH 03:	:									
P:	No. Pct.	0	0 0	1 33	1 33	1 33	0 0	0 0	0 0	3 100
Did Not										
	No. Pct.	4 25	4 25	3 19	1 6	1 6	0 0	2 13	1 6	16 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	4 21	4 21	4 21	2 11	2 11	0	2 11	1 5	19 100

- 1.
- "MTH 03" denotes course, "Basic Algebra I."
 "MTH 111" denotes course, "Technical Mathematics I." 2.
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990. "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- 4.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 17 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN MTH 151 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK MITH 03 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE

WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 03

"Obje			n.I.	arget"	Course	e (MTH	151)	Grade		Total
Grad		A	В	С	D	F	I	W	Х	Grades
MTH 03:	:									
P:	No. Pct.	1 14	2 29	1 14	1 14	2 29	0 0	0 0	0 0	7 100
w:	No. Pct.	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 100	0 0	1 100
Sub-Tot	No. Pct.	1 13	2 25	1 13	1 13	2 25	0 0	1 13	0 0	8 100
Did Not										
	No. Pct.	0	8 62	3 23	2 15	0 0	0	0	0 0	13 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	1 5	10 48	4 19	3 14	2 10	0	1 5	0	21 100

- 1.
- "MTH 03" denotes course, "Basic Algebra I."
 "MTH 151" denotes course, "Mathematics for the Liberal Arts I." 2.
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 4.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 18 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN MTH 06 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK MIH 04 PRICE TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 04

"Obje Cou			L.i.	'arget'	Cours	e (MTH O	6) Grade	meta l
Grad		I	P	Ū	W	х		Total Grades
MTH 04:	:							
P:	No.	1	5	2	2	0		10
	Pct.	10	50	20	20	0		100
U:	No.	0	4	1	0 0	0		5
	Pct.	0	80	20	0	0		100
Sub-Tot	cal:							
	No.	1 7	9	3	2 13	0		15
	Mct.	7	60	20	13	0		100
Did Not	. Take]						
MUH								
	No.	o	1	2	0	0		3
	Pct.	0	33	67	0	0		100
moma I a	No.		10					
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	1 6	10 56	5 28	2 11	0 0		18 100
		! 						

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- "MTH 04" denotes course, "Basic Algebra II."

 "MTH 06" denotes course, "Basic Geometry."

 "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.

 "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990.

 No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 19 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN MTH 151 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK WITH 04 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 04

"Obje Cou			Lıı	arget"	Course	(MTH	151)	Grade		Total
Grad		A	В	С	D	F	I	W	Х	Grades
MTH 04:	:									
P: .	No. Pct.	0 0	3 60	1 20	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 20	0 0	5 100
U:	No. Pct.	0 0	1 20	0 0	0 0	2 40	0 0	2 40	0 0	5 100
W:	No. Pct.	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	1 100	0 0	100
Sub-Tot	tal:									
	No. Pct.	0	4 36	9	0 0	2 18	0 0	4 36	0 0	11 100
Did Not										
	No. Pct.	0	8 62	3 23	2 15	0	0 0	0	0 0	13 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	0	12 50	4 17	2 8	2 8	0	4 17	0	24 100

- 1. "MTH 04" denotes course, "Basic Algebra II."
- "MTH 151" denotes course, "Mathematics for the Liberal Arts I." 2.
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990. "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 20 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADEC ASSIGNED IN MTH 171 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK MITH 04 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE

WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 04

"Obje			r"	arget"	Cours	е (МТН	171)	Grade		mo-t I
Cour		A	В	С	D	F	I	W	х	Total Grades
MTH 04:	:									
P:	No. Pct.	0	3 27	0	2 18	3 27	0 0	3 27	0 0	11 100
Did Not MTH										
	No. Pct.	1 8	3 25	3 25	1 8	1 8	0	3 25	0	12 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	1 4	6 26	3 13	3 13	4 17	0 0	6 26	0	23 100

- 1.
- "MTH 04" denotes course, "Basic Algebra II."
 "MTH 171" denotes course, "Pre-Calculus Mathematics I." 2.
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 4.
- No other enrollments in the "objec'" or "target" disciplines 5. occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 21 COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN MTH 151 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 OF THOSE WHO TOOK WITH 06 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990 VERSUS THOSE WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 06

"Obje			T"	arget"	Course	HTM)	151)	Grade	•	moto?
Cour		A	В	С	D	F	I	W	Х	Total Grades
MTH 06:										
	No. Pct.	0 -	<u>0</u>	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Did Not										
	No. Pct.	0	8 62	3 23	2 15	0 0	0	0 0	0	13 100
'TOTAL:	No. Pct.	0	8 62	3 23	2 15	0	0	0	0	13 100

- "MTH 06" denotes course, "Basic Geometry." 1.
- "MTH 151" denotes course, "Mathematics for the Liberal Arts I." 2.
- "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.
 "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- 4.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.



Table 22

COMPARISON OF STUDENT GRADES ASSIGNED IN ITH 171 SPRING SEMESTER 1990 GF THESE WHO TOOK MTH 06 PRIOR TO SPRING 1990

VERSUS THOSE

WHO DID NOT TAKE MTH 06

	"Object" Course		υŢ	arget"	Course	(MTH	171)	Grade		Total
Grad		A	В	С	D	F	I	W	Х	Grades
MTH 06:										
P:	No. Pct.	0 0	1 25	1 25	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 50	0 0	100
Did Not MTH										
	No. Pct.	1 8	3 25	3 25	1 8	1 8	0	3 2 5	0	12 100
TOTAL:	No. Pct.	1 6	4 25	4 25	1 6	1 6	0	5 31	0	16 100

NOTE:

- 1.
- 2.
- "MTH 06" denotes course, "Basic Geometry."

 "MTH 171" denotes course, "Pre-Calculus Mathematics I."

 "Object" grades were earned prior to Spring Semester 1990.

 "Target" grades were earned Spring Semester 1990. 3.
- No other enrollments in the "object" or "target" disciplines occurred between the enrollments in the "object" and "target" courses.

J. Doyle Lyons New River Community College Dublin, VA



NAMES DE LA CONTRACTOR DE ERIC Clearinghouse for Junior Colleges

DEC 2 1 1990

END

U.S. Dept. of Education

Office of Education Research and Improvement (OERI).

ERIC

Date Filmed

March 29, 1991

